Approved For Release 2004/01/14: CIA-RDP77M00144R000400050094-82 5 85

Review Staff:

75/3227/a

Date

15 October 1975

TO

CIA Task Force

FROM

The Review Staff, Walter Elder

SUBJECT :

SSC/HSC Request

RECEIVED:

Date 14 October Time 1230

The attached request is for more information on the Agency and IC budgets.

Comments:

	•	Action	Info
-	SC/DCI		X
	A/DDA	·	Χ
	A/DDI		Х
	A/DDO		Х
	A/DDS&T		X
	OGC		χ
	OLC		X
	IG		X
	B.Evans		X
	B.Evans IC Staff	X	
	O/Compt.	X	

Approved For Release 2004/01/14: CIA-RDP77M00144R000400050044-8

CRC, 11/21/2003

FRANK CHURCH TOWN CONTROL OF THE RELIGIOUS CONTROL OF THE RESERVE TO THE RESERVE THE RESERVE TO THE RESERVE THE RE

PHILIF, A. HART, MICH, WALTER F. MONOALE, MINN, WALTER D. HUDOLESTON, KY. REBERT MOHGAN, N.C. CARY MADT. COLO. Howard H. Baker, Jr., Tenn. Barry Coldwater, Aniz. Charles MC C. Mathias, Jr., MD. Richard S. Schweiker, Pa.

William G. Miller, Staff Director Frederick A. O. Schwarz, Jr., Chief Counsel, Curtis R. Smothers, Minority Counsel.

United States Senate

SELECT COMMITTEE TO
STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH
RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES
(PURSUANT TO S. RES. 21, 91TH CONGRESS)
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20310

October 10, 1975

The Hon. William E. Colby Director of Central Intelligence Central Intelligence Agency Washington, D.C. 20505 REVIEW STAFF

Dear Mr. Colby:

One of the key considerations of this Committee in our review of CIA budgetary practices is the extent to which the Agency has provided the Congress with data evaluating the utility of CIA programs.

As we proceed with the Committee's inquiry, we have been impressed with the able and earnest efforts of your Comptroller and supporting Directorate staffs to improve the Agency's program evaluation tools. We note the emphasis upon program evaluation and objectives management in the CIA's annual Program Call. For example, in a copy of the latest Call provided to our Committee, CIA Directorates are reminded that, "Precise statements of purposes, results to be achieved, monitoring, and evaluation should be integral parts of program planning budgeting, and execution." (page 3). This guidance corresponds with the requirement in OMB Circular A-11 that Federal agency budget submissions "be particularly informative in describing agency programs in terms of goals, objectives, and activities and in providing a measure of performance." (Sec. 36.1).

Nevertheless, in examining the CIA Congressional budget submission, which is the only formal documentation of your budget requests available so far to our Committee, there is very little of this evaluative kind of data. Before reaching any judgment in this matter, we wish to be sure that the Committee has not overlooked some types of data normally provided Congress in support of the CIA budget which do, in fact, meet the cited standards of budgetary justification. If the Agency has developed and submitted this kind of data in protoudget justifications, but has not yet supplied gopids budget justifications, but has not yet supplied gopids this Committee, we would like to have this material as soon as possible.

The Hon. William E. Colby October 10, 1975 Page Two

In this connection, we also note that the same considerations and concerns apply to your development and presentation of a national intelligence budget for the Intelligence Community. In this case, however, the Committee still has not received a copy of each of these annual national budget submissions as requested on July 16. We take this occasion to underline the Committee's need for this material and any other materials developed to support this Community budget request.

The Committee hearings on the intelligence budget process are soon at hand. I am sure you will understand the need for a complete range of budget materials to help assure the accuracy and balance of Committee findings in this area.

Sincerely,

Frank Church

Chairman